

**From:** Judy Russell [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** August 17, 2021 2:50 PM  
**To:** Fawcett,Elizabeth <EFAWCETT@cityofkingston.ca>; Sthamann,Lindsay <lsthmann@cityofkingston.ca>  
**Cc:** Ochej,Derek <dochej@cityofkingston.ca>  
**Subject:** Re: Reminder: Committee of Adjustment - August 16, 2021 starts in 1 hour

Dear Members of the Committee of Adjustment:

I attended the committee's meeting held on Monday August 16, 2021 and have some comments, primarily on the process.

1. Public comment on the applicant response to a public attendee's comments/questions.

I was surprised that while the committee was given an opportunity to respond to the applicant's response to comments made by attendees, the people who made them were not. Could that process be made clear in the messages sent when you sign up for zoom, or otherwise published on the website? If it is somewhere and I missed it, I apologize, but perhaps that means it could be made more obvious.

2. Clarification of the noise issue

If rebuttal had been allowed, then (if the resident who made the comment had not) I would have clarified that it wasn't an increase in membership that lead the neighborhood to anticipate increased noise, but, as the tennis club has noted on their website, they expect the building changes will make it easier to socialize after games. This will presumably increase the noise level after member game play and during competitions held at the club. They also state that given the improvements to the space, the club is considering pursuing a beer and wine license, which would also increase the noise level - people who drink tend to get louder. See the Project Fact Sheet at

[https://3e21a3d6-c86b-4e36-a7fd-3ade67acfd6c.filesusr.com/ugd/187b9e\\_e30e0f99be8948e6a16379b11567b8e3.pdf](https://3e21a3d6-c86b-4e36-a7fd-3ade67acfd6c.filesusr.com/ugd/187b9e_e30e0f99be8948e6a16379b11567b8e3.pdf)

which is currently a link off

<https://www.kingstontennisclub.com/building-together>

I would have sent this concern to the committee prior to the meeting, but I believed that the proposal the committee was addressing was simply the change to the side yard, it being the part of the plan that violates the bylaw. The changes to the building that will likely increase the noise level take place on the other side, being improvements to the two levels of deck. I am curious if pointing this out would have had any effect on the decision made by the committee. I also would assume that when the tennis club does apply for a beer/wine or other license that the neighborhood will have an opportunity to comment to the granting authority at that time.

### 3. Visibility of the posted sign

After the meeting I went to look for the sign that the applicant's representative stated had been posted on the property. There was an 11x17 sign (I would say yellow, not orange) attached to the fence. It would be easily read by someone as they entered, but unless you were trespassing on club property or have really good eyesight you probably can't read it. Had I noticed it from the road, I would have assumed it was addressing an issue like COVID rules, relevant to members or other people (e.g. parents of kid campers) who would be on the property. I have attached a photo - taken from the edge of the road. Note that there is no sidewalk on that side of Napier so pedestrians would typically be even further away from where I took my photo. During daylight hours there would usually be a car parked where I stood to take my photo. A good driver would not have seen it from the road.

Could I make the suggestion that the process be modified to require that a sign be in a spot or that its title/headline be in a large enough font that it can be read by passersby without having to trespass?

Thank you for your efforts on behalf of Kingston residents and for reading these comments.

Judy Russell



**From:** [Myers, Cheryl](#)  
**To:** [Sthamann, Lindsay](#); [Eusebio, Annemarie](#)  
**Cc:** [Planning Outside Email](#); [Gregory, Katharine](#)  
**Subject:** FW: File D13-047-2021 - supports the approval of this application  
**Date:** September 9, 2021 1:14:49 PM

---

Please see email below.

Thank you,  
Cheryl

---

**From:** paul newhouse [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** September 9, 2021 12:51 PM  
**To:** Planning Outside Email <Planning@cityofkingston.ca>  
**Subject:** File D13-047-2021

To: Planning Department  
ATTN.: Committee of Adjustment  
Subject: File D13-047-2021

Public Input

I Paul Newhouse own and reside at 343 College Street  
Kingston, Ontario  
K7L 4M6  
[REDACTED]

With regards to the Minor Variance file, as noted above, I fully support the approval of this application for three reasons:

- 1-The rebuilding of this location will further enhance the beautification of the neighborhood which I think will especially have an even greater impact to the area given its proximity to the adjacent park.
- 2-With the demand for affordable, clean, safe rental housing and the desire to curb urban sprawl, adding the additional residential unit addresses this with a very positive impact on the community.
- 3-I don't see any negative impact to the adjacent neighbours or neighborhood.

If you have any questions or concerns please contact me as above.

Regards,  
Paul Newhouse